

Phototropins But Not Cryptochromes Mediate the Blue Light-Specific Promotion of Stomatal Conductance, While Both Enhance Photosynthesis and Transpiration under Full Sunlight^{1,2}[C][W][OA]

Hernán E. Boccalandro*, Carla V. Giordano, Edmundo L. Ploschuk, Patricia N. Piccoli, Rubén Bottini, and Jorge J. Casal

Instituto de Biología Agrícola de Mendoza, Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Universidad Nacional de Cuyo and Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), 5507 Chacras de Coria, Argentina (H.E.B., P.N.P., R.B.); Instituto de Ciencias Básicas, Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, 5500 Mendoza, Argentina (H.E.B.); Instituto Argentino de Investigaciones de las Zonas Áridas-Centro Científico Tecnológico, CONICET, 5500 Mendoza, Argentina (C.V.G.); and Cátedra Cultivos Industriales (E.L.P.) and Instituto de Investigaciones Fisiológicas y Ecológicas Vinculadas a la Agricultura (J.J.C.), Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de Buenos Aires and CONICET, 1417 Buenos Aires, Argentina

Leaf epidermal peels of *Arabidopsis* (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) mutants lacking either phototropins 1 and 2 (*phot1* and *phot2*) or cryptochromes 1 and 2 (*cry1* and *cry2*) exposed to a background of red light show severely impaired stomatal opening responses to blue light. Since *phot* and *cry* are UV-A/blue light photoreceptors, they may be involved in the perception of the blue light-specific signal that induces the aperture of the stomatal pores. In leaf epidermal peels, the blue light-specific effect saturates at low irradiances; therefore, it is considered to operate mainly under the low irradiance of dawn, dusk, or deep canopies. Conversely, we show that both *phot1 phot2* and *cry1 cry2* have reduced stomatal conductance, transpiration, and photosynthesis, particularly under the high irradiance of full sunlight at midday. These mutants show compromised responses of stomatal conductance to irradiance. However, the effects of *phot* and *cry* on photosynthesis were largely nonstomatal. While the stomatal conductance phenotype of *phot1 phot2* was blue light specific, *cry1 cry2* showed reduced stomatal conductance not only in response to blue light, but also in response to red light. The levels of abscisic acid were elevated in *cry1 cry2*. We conclude that considering their effects at high irradiances *cry* and *phot* are critical for the control of transpiration and photosynthesis rates in the field. The effects of *cry* on stomatal conductance are largely indirect and involve the control of abscisic acid levels.

The stomata provide a key point of control the exchange of water and CO₂ between the plant and the atmosphere. Stomatal conductance depends on the number of stomata per unit area and the aperture of the stomatal pore, and both are affected by the light environment. High irradiances, typical of open places

and seasons with clear skies, increase stomatal density (Willmer and Fricker, 1996; Lake et al., 2001; Thomas et al., 2004; Casson and Gray, 2008). The high red to far-red ratios of open places also increase stomatal density compared to the low ratios of dense vegetation canopies (Boccalandro et al., 2009). These effects of irradiance (Casson et al., 2009) and red/far-red ratio (Boccalandro et al., 2009) are at least partially mediated by phytochrome B (*phyB*) perception of the light signals. Cryptochrome 1 (*cry1*) and 2 (*cry2*) increase stomatal index (Kang et al., 2009), i.e. the ratio between stomata number and total epidermal cells, but whether they also affect stomata density remains to be elucidated.

In addition to its long-term effects on stomatal density, light has rapid effects on stomatal conductance by enhancing the aperture of the stomatal pore. This light effect has two components, one sensitive to photosynthetically active radiation and the other responsive specifically to blue light (Zeiger and Field, 1982). The first component is often called the red light effect (Shimazaki et al., 2007). The nature of the receptor involved in the red light effect has not been definitely established (Baroli et al., 2008; Wang et al.,

¹ This work was supported by the Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (grant nos. PICT 00492 to H.E.B., PICT 20–20093 to R.B., and PICT 1913 to J.J.C.) and the University of Cuyo (grant no. 6–M008 to H.E.B.).

² This article is dedicated to the memory of Hernán E. Boccalandro, who died suddenly after a traffic accident on December 10, 2011.

* Corresponding author; e-mail hboccalandro@gmail.com.

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantphysiol.org) is: Hernán E. Boccalandro (hboccalandro@gmail.com).

[C] Some figures in this article are displayed in color online but in black and white in the print edition.

[W] The online version of this article contains Web-only data.

[OA] Open Access articles can be viewed online without a subscription.

www.plantphysiol.org/cgi/doi/10.1104/pp.111.187237

2010, 2011). In isolated epidermal peels of *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaves, the blue light-induced promotion of the aperture of the stomatal pore is severely impaired in the *phototropin1 phototropin2* (*phot1 phot2*) double mutant (Kinoshita et al., 2001) and the *cry1 cry2* mutant (Mao et al., 2005). Furthermore, stomatal conductance fails to respond to blue light in intact leaves of the *phot1 phot2* mutant (Doi et al., 2004). Since *cry* (Cashmore et al., 1999) and *phot* (Huala et al., 1997; Kagawa et al., 2001) are blue light photoreceptors, they could be directly involved in the perception of the blue light signal inducing stomatal aperture. *Cry* would primarily function under relatively high fluence rates of blue light, whereas *phot* would function under both low and high fluence rates of blue light (Mao et al., 2005).

The proposed function of *cry1* and *cry2* in blue light-induced stomata opening has been questioned. Ohgishi et al. (2004) compared the response to blue light of epidermal peels of the *phot1 phot2 cry1 cry2* quadruple mutant with that of triple mutants containing either *cry1* or *cry2* and concluded that none of the latter photoreceptors was able to mediate the blue light-induced stomatal aperture. Shimazaki et al. (2007) argued that the residual effect of blue light observed in the *phot1 phot2* double mutant could be mediated by chlorophyll in the guard cells because the background red light intensity employed by Mao et al. (2005) would not have sufficed to saturate guard cell photosynthesis. Shimazaki et al. (2007) also suggested that *cry* might function in a blue light-independent manner to inhibit stomatal closure and thereby promote stomatal opening. Lascève et al. (1999) measured stomatal conductance in *Arabidopsis* intact leaves and observed apparently normal responses to blue light in the *cry1 cry2* double mutant. The *cry1 cry2* double mutant did show a light-independent reduction of stomatal conductance that was assigned by the authors to variations in leaf temperature among plants, inaccuracy in estimation of exposed leaf surface, or some consequence of the mutations (Lascève et al., 1999). Differences between the stomatal responses in isolated epidermal peels compared to intact leaves are well documented and could result from the presence of the mesophyll in intact leaves (Mott, 2009). Therefore, whether *cry* actually affects stomatal responses in intact leaves remains to be elucidated.

The blue light-dependent system controlling stomatal aperture saturates well below 1% of full sunlight, which supports the idea that predawn increases in stomatal conductance are a response to blue light (Zeiger and Field, 1982). The effect of blue light on stomatal conductance is rapid and would reduce the limitation of photosynthesis by CO₂ at dawn, when light reactions reach maximum rates faster than stomatal opening (Zeiger and Field, 1982; Shimazaki et al., 2007). The blue light-dependent system would also be important for stomata opening under low irradiances, such as the understory of dense canopies,

where light levels might not exceed the threshold for the red-light response (Zeiger and Field, 1982). This idea is consistent with the promotion of plant growth capacity and photosynthetic rates by *phot* in low light environments (Takemiya et al., 2005). Based on these arguments, one would expect blue light photoreceptors to affect stomatal conductance of fully exposed, nonshaded plants, only at both extremes of the photoperiod. However, this prediction requires experimental evaluation.

The aim of this article was to characterize the light response of stomatal conductance in intact leaves of the *phot1 phot2* and *cry1 cry2* double mutants to elucidate (1) whether *cry* is a photoreceptor involved in the blue light system that controls stomatal conductance of intact leaves, and (2), the contribution of *phot* and *cry* to the diurnal dynamics of stomatal conductance, transpiration, and photosynthesis in plants grown under full natural radiation.

RESULTS

Diurnal Pattern of Stomatal Conductance and Transpiration in *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* under Natural Radiation

Arabidopsis wild-type and the double mutants *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* plants were grown under natural radiation in a glasshouse (winter experiment, photoperiod of approximately 10 h; Fig. 1, A–C) or outdoors (summer experiment, photoperiod of approximately 14.5 h; Fig. 1, D–F) for 6 or 5 weeks, respectively. The diurnal pattern of stomatal conductance (Fig. 1, A and D), transpiration per unit leaf area (Fig. 1, B and E), and irradiance and air temperature (Fig. 1, C and F) were recorded. Blue light (400–500 nm) at maximum irradiance was 302 and 798 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ in the winter and summer experiments, respectively. In the wild type, maximum stomatal conductance and transpiration rate occurred at midday and were higher in summer than in winter. Since the proportion of blue light is higher at the extremes of the photoperiod and previous experiments with epidermal peels had shown saturation of the blue light effect at relatively low irradiances (Mao et al., 2005; Kinoshita et al., 2001), it was expected a higher impact of the *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* mutations at dawn and/or at dusk. Contrary to this, both the *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* reduced stomatal conductance mainly at midday, when irradiance was high (Fig. 1, C and F). Leaf water potential at midday was not significantly affected by the different genotypes (MPa, mean \pm SE; winter experiment: the wild type, -0.12 ± 0.02 ; *cry1 cry2*, -0.14 ± 0.03 ; and *phot1 phot2*, -0.16 ± 0.03 ; $P = 0.28$ $n = 8$; summer experiment: the wild type, -2.0 ± 0.29 ; *cry1 cry2*, -1.6 ± 0.08 ; and *phot1 phot2*, -1.4 ± 0.14 ; $P = 0.5$; $n = 5$).

Additional glasshouse experiments were conducted to test the effect of the above mutations under different




Figure 1. Cryptochromes and phototropins control stomatal conductance and transpiration rates under natural radiation. Diurnal course of stomatal conductance (A and D) and transpiration per unit leaf area (B and E) in adult plants of the wild type and of the *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* double mutants recorded under the indicated PPFD and temperatures (C and F) in a glasshouse in winter (A–C) or outdoors in summer (D–F). Data are means and SE of at least three plant replicates. An asterisk close to a mutant genotype symbol denotes significant differences ($P < 0.05$) with the wild type according to ANOVA and Bonferroni post tests.

combinations of cloudiness, temperature, and humidity. The *cry1 cry2* mutant showed reduced midday stomatal conductance in all cases, but the *phot1 phot2* mutant was significantly affected only in the sunny and temperate days when conductance was higher (Supplemental Fig. S1). This indicates that the impact of cry on stomatal conductance is more robust than that of phot. Variation in abaxial rather than in adaxial stomatal conductance was observed in wild-type plants subjected to different environmental conditions, indicating that stomata located in the abaxial leaf surface are responsible for most of the dynamic adjustment to a changing environment (Supplemental Fig. S1). *cry* promoted stomatal conductance in both leaf surfaces under different environmental conditions, while *phot* mainly stimulate stomatal conductance under maximum irradiance in the lower epidermis (Supplemental Fig. S1).

In independent experiments, the single *cry1*, *cry2*, *phot1*, and *phot2* mutants were included. Reduced stomatal conductance was only observed for the *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* double mutants (mean \pm SE in mmol m⁻² s⁻¹, $n \geq 4$; the wild type, 310 ± 33 ; *phot1*, 398 ± 58 ; *phot2*, 385 ± 20 ; *cry1*, 222 ± 18 ; *cry2*, 279 ± 62 ; *phot1 phot2*, 193 ± 35 ; *cry1 cry2*, 117 ± 13). This indicates that while *cry* and *phot* are not redundant, the members of these families are.

Diurnal Pattern of Stomatal Conductance in *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* under Constant Irradiance

Under natural radiation, the differences between the wild type and the *cry1 cry2* or *phot1 phot2* mutants increased toward midday (Fig. 1). This could be due to time-dependent and/or environmental-dependent (irradiance, temperature, and humidity) effects. To discriminate between these possibilities, plants were cultivated in a growth chamber under a photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of $170 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, and immediately prior to the onset of the photoperiod in which the measurements were taken, half of the plants were transferred to a lower PPFD ($17 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$). At $170 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, stomatal conductance was lower in the *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* mutants than in the wild type (Fig. 2A). These differences were established at the beginning of the photoperiod and remained stable afterward, suggesting that the increased impact of the mutations observed at midday compared to the extremes of the photoperiod under natural radiation (Fig. 1, A and D) are caused by the diurnal fluctuations of the environment (e.g. higher irradiances at midday). Lowering PPFD to $17 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ reduced stomatal conductance in the wild type and the *cry1 cry2* mutant, without seriously affecting the difference between these genotypes compared to




Figure 2. Diurnal course of stomatal conductance in plants of the wild type and of the *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* double mutants exposed to different levels of constant irradiance. Plants were grown in a growth chamber under a PPFD = $170 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (12 h light/12 h dark, relative humidity [HR] approximately 50%, 22°C) for 32 d and either remained at that irradiance (A) or were transferred to $17 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (B) before the onset of the photoperiod in which stomatal conductance was recorded. Data are means and SE of six plant replicates. An asterisk close to a mutant genotype symbol denotes significant differences ($P < 0.05$) with the wild type according to ANOVA and Bonferroni post tests.

that observed at $170 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (Fig. 2B). Conversely, lowering PPFD only slightly reduced stomatal conductance of the *phot1 phot2* mutant, which therefore showed values closer to those of the wild type (Fig. 2B). Despite constant environmental conditions throughout the photoperiod, in plants exposed to $170 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, stomatal conductance reached a maximum at midday (all genotypes; Figure 2A). This fluctuation was weak or undetectable in plants exposed to $17 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ during the day when measurements were recorded (Fig. 2B), indicating that rhythmic fluctuations in stomatal conductance depend on irradiance.

Photosynthesis in *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2*

Both *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* showed reduced rates of transpiration under natural radiation (Fig. 1, B and E). Since these effects were observed close to midday, when irradiance levels were high, *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* could limit photosynthesis by lowering the flux of CO_2 . To investigate the long-term transpiration efficiency (CO_2 fixed/water loss ratio), the isotopic discrimination against $^{13}\text{CO}_2$ with respect to $^{12}\text{CO}_2$ (Δ ; Farquhar and Richards, 1984; Masle et al., 2005) in plants grown under natural radiation was analyzed. The wild type and the *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* mutants presented similar Δ values (mean \pm SE in Δ per million, $n = 3$; the wild type, 22.1 ± 0.1 ; *cry1 cry2*, 21.6 ± 0.5 ; *phot1 phot2*,

21.8 ± 0.4 ; $P = 0.69$), indicating that lower photosynthetic rates should accompany the lower transpiration rates presented by *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2*.

Based on these long-term observations, the daily fluctuations in net CO_2 uptake in plants grown under natural radiation (Fig. 3, same day displayed in Fig. 1, A–C) were analyzed. Net CO_2 uptake was lower in the *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* double mutants than in the wild type (Fig. 3). The single mutants *cry1*, *cry2*, *phot1*, and *phot2* showed no differences from the wild type (mean \pm SE in $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, $n \geq 4$; the wild type, 18.6 ± 1.3 ; *phot1*, 21.3 ± 2.4 ; *phot2*, 18.2 ± 1.7 ; *cry1*, 17.5 ± 1.4 ; *cry2*, 19.7 ± 3.2 ; *phot1 phot2*, 15.9 ± 0.7 ; *cry1 cry2*, 8.2 ± 0.7), indicating a redundant role for both members of the cry and phot families in the control of photosynthetic rate. Differences in the rate of net CO_2 uptake were confirmed in an independent experiment involving a different set of plants in a sunny day of winter (Supplemental Fig. S2).

Irradiance Dependency of the Effects of cry and phot on Stomatal Conductance and Photosynthetic Rates

The responses of stomatal conductance and photosynthesis to irradiance were investigated in plants cultivated in a growth chamber under photoperiods of $170 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. In the wild type, stomatal conductance increased with PPFD, reaching the maximum values at approximately $500 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (Fig. 4A). The *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* mutants presented lower stomatal conductance than wild-type plants throughout the whole range of irradiances tested here and did not respond to increasing PPFD levels (slope not significantly different from zero; Fig. 4A). Stomatal conductance consistently failed to respond to irradiance in the *phot1 phot2* mutant (Figs. 2 and 4A). The *cry1 cry2* mutant showed normal responses to differences in irradiance established at least 1 h before the measurements of stomatal conductance (Fig. 2), but it




Figure 3. Diurnal course of net CO_2 uptake in plants of the wild type and of the *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* double mutants grown under natural radiation in a glasshouse (same as the experiment in Fig. 1, A–C). Data are means and SE of at least three plant replicates for each time and genotype. An asterisk close to a mutant genotype denotes significant differences ($P < 0.05$) with the wild type according to ANOVA and Bonferroni post tests.




Figure 4. Fluence rate response curves of net CO₂ uptake in plants of the wild type and of the *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* double mutants. Stomatal conductance (A) and net CO₂ uptake (B) as a function of PPFD. Plants were grown in a growth chamber (12 h light/12 h dark, PPFD = 170 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, HR approximately 50%, 22°C). Data are means and SE of at least four plant replicates. An asterisk close to a mutant genotype denotes significant differences ($P < 0.05$) with the wild type according to ANOVA and Bonferroni post tests.

failed to respond to changes in irradiance in the order of minutes, which are involved in the measurements done with the infrared gas analyzer in combination with its portable light source of variable irradiance (Fig. 4A).

Net CO₂ exchange in darkness was unaffected by the *cry1 cry2* or *phot1 phot2* mutations, which therefore had no significant effects on mitochondrial respiration rates (Fig. 4B). The rates of net CO₂ exchange increased with PPFD in the three genotypes, (Fig. 4B). The *phot1 phot2* double mutant presented significantly lower photosynthetic rates at all the irradiances tested here, while *cry1 cry2* showed significantly lower photosynthetic rates only at high irradiances (200 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ or more; Fig. 4B). Both mutants and the wild type reached maximum photosynthetic rates at approximately 400 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, but these rates were significantly lower in *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* (Fig. 4B), indicating that they have lower carboxylation capacity compared to the wild type.

Stomatic and Nonstomatic Effects of *phot1 phot2* and *cry1 cry2* on Photosynthetic Rate

To investigate whether at high irradiance (700 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) the effects of *phot1 phot2* and *cry1 cry2* mutants are the result of their reduced stomatal conductance that limits the flux of CO₂ to the mesophyll, the responses of stomatal conductance and net CO₂

uptake to CO₂ levels were measured. When exposed to increasing CO₂ levels, wild-type plants gradually reduced stomatal conductance, the *cry1 cry2* mutant responded poorly, and the *phot1 phot2* mutant completely failed to respond (Fig. 5A). As a result of this, the differences in stomatal conductance between the wild type and *cry1 cry2* narrowed down with increasing CO₂, and the difference between the wild type and *phot1 phot2* disappeared for CO₂ levels above 1,000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ (Fig. 5A). Net CO₂ uptake increased with CO₂ levels in the wild type, *cry1 cry2*, and *phot1 phot2*, but the mutants showed lower rates of CO₂ uptake even in the range of high CO₂ levels, though differences in stomatal conductance were small or null (Fig. 5B). This indicates that the *phot1 phot2* mutant has




Figure 5. CO₂ response curves of net CO₂ uptake in plants of the wild type and of the *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* double mutants. Stomatal conductance (A), net CO₂ uptake (B), and ratios between intercellular (C_i) and ambient (C_a) CO₂ concentrations as a function of ambient CO₂ concentration (C). Plants were grown in a growth chamber (12 h light/12 h dark, PPFD = 170 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, HR approximately 50%, 22°C). Data are means and SE of at least five plant replicates. An asterisk close to a mutant genotype denotes significant differences ($P < 0.05$) with the wild type according to ANOVA and Bonferroni post tests.

nonstomatic effects on photosynthesis. In accordance with this conclusion, the ratio between intercellular and ambient CO₂ concentrations was higher in the *phot1 phot2* mutant than in the wild type (Fig. 5C), while stomatal limitations would yield a low ratio. Also, the ratio between intercellular and ambient CO₂ concentrations was unaffected by the *cry1 cry2* mutations, indicating that the limitations to photosynthesis are largely nonstomatic (Fig. 5C).

Reduced Stomatal Conductance of *cry1 cry2* under Red Light

The reduced stomatal conductance of the *cry1 cry2* double mutant compared to the wild type in darkness (Fig. 2) encouraged the analysis of red light effects, i.e. in the absence of blue light to activate *cry* and *phot*. Wild-type, *cry1 cry2*, and *phot1 phot2* plants were grown under white light for 32 d. At day 33, half of the plants were exposed since the onset of the photoperiod to 6 h of white light, while the other half was exposed for 6 h to red light before measurements of stomatal conductance. Compared to the wild type, the *phot1 phot2* mutant showed reduced conductance under white light and conductance values similar to the wild type under red light (Fig. 6A). This is consistent with a direct role of *phot1* and *phot2* on the perception of current blue light levels. Conversely, the *cry1 cry2* mutant presented low stomatal conductance even under red light (Fig. 6A). In a similar experimental setting, the response of stomatal conductance to different irradiance levels of red or blue light was measured. The *phot1 phot2* mutant responded normally to red light but it failed to exhibit the blue light-specific effect (evidenced by the higher slope of the response to blue compared to red light in the wild type; Fig. 6B). The *cry1 cry2* mutant not only lacked the blue light-specific component, but it also severely failed in the response to red light (Fig. 6B).

Reduced Stomata Density in *cry1 cry2*

The *cry1 cry2* mutant has reduced stomatal index, i.e. the ratio between stomata density and epidermal cell density (Kang et al., 2009). Stomatal conductance is not necessarily affected by stomatal index (Morrison, 1998; Poole et al., 2000). We therefore recorded stomata density and observed a statistically significant reduction in *cry1 cry2* compared to *phot1 phot2* or the wild type (sum of stomata of both leaf surfaces mm⁻²; the wild type, 292 ± 12; *cry1 cry2*, 251 ± 5; *phot1 phot2*, 316 ± 14; *n* = 16). However, the magnitude of this effect (14% reduction) accounts only partially for the effects on stomatal conductance under red light (17% to 38% reduction; Fig. 6).

Increased ABA Levels in *cry1 cry2*

We investigated the levels of abscisic acid (ABA) in *cry1 cry2* because ABA alters stomatal responses to




Figure 6. The *cry1 cry2* double mutant has reduced stomatal conductance even in the absence of blue light. Plants of the wild type and of the *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* double mutants were grown in a growth chamber (12 h light/12 h dark, PPFD = 170 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, HR approximately 50%, 22°C). During the photoperiod when stomatal conductance was recorded, the plants were exposed for 6 h to red light or white light (A; both at 110 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) or to different irradiances of blue or red light (B). Data are means and SE of at least five plant replicates. In A, an asterisk close to a mutant genotype denotes significant differences (*P* < 0.05) with the wild type according to ANOVA and Bonferroni post tests. In B, the slope and SE are indicated. [See online article for color version of this figure.]

light (Shimazaki et al., 2007). Compared to the wild type, leaves of the *cry1 cry2* mutant had significantly higher levels of ABA measured either at midday (Fig.

7A) or during the night (Fig. 7B). This suggests that differences in ABA generated under white light persist even in the absence of light absorbed by cry and affect stomata responses. Consistently with differences in stomatal conductance generated by different ABA levels, ABA applications to foliage (spray of 100 μM solution; Fig. 8A) or to roots (watering with 35 μM solution; Fig. 8B) eliminated the differences between the wild type and *cry1 cry2*.

DISCUSSION

It has been shown that both the stomatal pore of leaf epidermal peels of the *phot1 phot2* (Kinoshita et al., 2001) and *cry1 cry2* (Mao et al., 2005) double mutants of *Arabidopsis* and the stomatal conductance of intact *phot1 phot2* leaves (Doi et al., 2004) have severely impaired responses to blue light added to a background of red light. Based on these observations, it would be reasonable to predict that phot and cry are the receptors of the blue light-specific system involved in stomatal opening (although the role of cry had already been challenged; Shimazaki et al., 2007). Here, we confirm that the effects of phot on stomatal conductance are blue light specific (Fig. 6), indicating that phot is the direct receptor of the blue light stimulus. However, the *cry1 cry2* mutant showed severely reduced responses to blue as well as to red light (Fig. 6). In some experiments, the *cry1 cry2* mutant showed reduced stomatal conductance even in darkness (Fig. 2). These results indicate that crys are not directly




Figure 7. Increased ABA levels in the leaves of the *cry1 cry2* mutant. Plants of the wild type and of the *cry1 cry2* double mutant were grown in a growth chamber (12 h light/12 h dark, PPFD = 170 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, HR approximately 50%, 22°C) and harvested either at midday of day 33 (A) or the following night, 9 h after the end of the photoperiod (B). Data are means and SE of three replicates. An asterisk denotes significant differences ($P < 0.05$) with the wild type according to *t* tests.




Figure 8. Exogenously applied ABA eliminates the differences in stomatal conductance between the *cry1 cry2* and the wild type. Plants of the wild type and of the *cry1 cry2* double mutant were grown in a growth chamber (12 h light/12 h dark, PPFD = 170 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, HR approximately 50%, 22°C), and ABA was either sprayed (100 μM) on the leaves (A) or added with watering (35 μM ; B) at the indicated times (arrows). Data are means and SE of five replicates. An asterisk denotes significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between the wild type and *cry1 cry2* according to *t* tests.

involved in the perception of the blue light signal that stimulates stomatal aperture. We propose that the perception of previous blue light by cry causes persistent changes that determine subsequent stomatal conductance and its response to both red and blue light. One of the persistent changes in *cry1 cry2* is the reduced stomatal density. This result complements previous observations of a reduced stomatal index in *cry1 cry2* (Kang et al., 2009). However, differences in stomatal density were too small to account for the larger effects on stomatal conductance. Therefore, the effects of cry were largely on stomatal aperture. We observed increased levels of ABA in the *cry1 cry2* double mutant (Fig. 7). In turn, increased ABA has been shown to reduce stomatal density (Bradford et al., 1983; Léon-Kloosterziel et al., 1996) and stomatal responses to light (Shimazaki et al., 2007). Addition of ABA eliminated the differences in conductance between the wild type and *cry1 cry2* (Fig. 8). Therefore, we propose that light perception by cry reduces ABA levels, which in turn cause increased stomatal density and stomatal responsiveness to light signals, inducing the opening of the pore. Blue light-independent phenotypes of cry mutants had been reported for gene expression (Yang et al., 2008) and seedling morphol-

ogy (Botto et al., 2003) during deetiolation, and it would be interesting to elucidate whether the latter effects are also related to differences in ABA levels.

The expression of *FLOWERING LOCUS T* (*FT*) in occlusive cells has recently been shown to be important for the induction of stomatal opening by blue light (Kinoshita et al., 2011). In *Arabidopsis* plants exposed to long days, *cry* (mainly *cry2*) promotes the expression of *FT* in vascular tissues (Endo et al., 2007; Kobayashi and Weigel, 2007), and the *FT* protein then migrates to the apex to induce the transition to flowering (Corbesier et al., 2007). Therefore, a role of *FT* in the *cry*-mediated effects on stomatal opening cannot be ruled out. However, the latter is unlikely to be the main pathway of *cry* activity because at least in the case of flowering, *cry* promotion of *FT* expression occurs under long days and here *cry* effects on stomatal conductance were observed under long or short days (Fig. 1). Furthermore, while *cry2* is the main *cry* in terms of induction of *FT* expression (Endo et al., 2007), *cry1* and *cry2* redundantly enhance stomatal conductance.

Previous experiments where epidermal peels from *Arabidopsis* leaves exposed to a background of red light showed saturation of stomatal aperture by low irradiances of blue light (Kinoshita et al., 2001; Mao et al., 2005), suggesting that the relative contribution of blue light photoreceptors would be maximal at the low irradiance levels typical of the extremes of the photoperiod or of deep canopy shade light. However, both *phot1 phot2* and *cry1 cry2* showed impaired promotion of stomatal conductance by irradiance in the field. In these mutants, stomatal conductance was close to the wild type at the extremes of the day and reached the widest difference at midday (Fig. 1), particularly under clear skies (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. S2). In the field, changes in temperature and water vapor partial pressure deficit accompany different sunlight levels, but a defect in the response to irradiance was also observed under controlled conditions (Figs. 2 and 4), indicating a light-specific effect. Both *phot1 phot2* and *cry1 cry2* partially responded to irradiance when stomatal conductance was measured on a time scale of hours (Fig. 2), but they completely failed to respond to irradiance on a time scale of minutes (Fig. 4). Therefore, rapid adjustment of stomatal conductance depends entirely on *phot* and *cry*. The differences with previous reports where the effects of *cry* and *phot* appear to saturate at low irradiance (Kinoshita et al., 2001; Mao et al., 2005) might relate to the use of intact leaves rather than epidermal peels and to acclimation to higher growth irradiances, among other possibilities.

The scenario reported here significantly upgrades the importance of the control of stomatal conductance by *phot* and *cry*: At high irradiance, CO₂ diffusion is more likely to limit photosynthesis, and the higher radiation load augments leaf temperature and water vapor partial pressure deficit, thus increasing transpiration particularly if stomata are open. Close to mid-

day of a clear winter day, the *phot1 phot2* and *cry1 cry2* mutations reduced instant transpiration rates 29% and 72% (Fig. 1) and instant photosynthesis rates 29% and 39% compared to the wild type (Fig. 3). The long-term transpiration efficiency was unaffected in *cry1 cry2* or *phot1 phot2*, indicating that transpiration and CO₂ fixation suffered quantitatively similar reductions. *phot* had been shown to affect plant growth at low irradiance (Takemiya et al., 2005), and our results expand the range where *phot* and *cry* influence key physiological processes to high irradiances.

The effects of *phot* and *cry* on photosynthesis were largely nonstomatal (Fig. 5), i.e. although stomatal conductance was reduced by the mutations to levels that in the wild type would reduce maximum photosynthesis, other aspects of the photosynthetic process were also affected in the mutants and imposed a limit to photosynthesis. Light-saturated rates of electron transport per unit area were reduced in *cry1 cry2* and *phot1 phot2* and could account for the nonstomatal limitation of these mutants (Boonman, et al., 2009). In rice (*Oryza sativa*), the *phot1* mutant shows reduced photosynthetic rates associated to elevated hydrogen peroxide accumulation (Goh et al., 2009). At low irradiance levels, *phot1* is required to optimize chloroplast exposure to light (Christie, 2007; Königer et al., 2008).

In conclusion, *phot* is directly involved in the perception of the daily fluctuations of irradiance, which triggers rapid stomatal responses. Conversely, both *phyB* (Boccalandro et al., 2009; Casson et al., 2009) and *cry* are involved in long-term changes that indirectly condition rapid stomatal responses. In the case of *phyB*, the effects on stomatal density (Boccalandro et al., 2009; Casson et al., 2009) are larger than the effects on stomatal aperture (Wang et al., 2010). In the case of *cry*, the effects on stomatal aperture are larger than the effects on stomatal density (this article). Changes in stomatal density involve a slow developmental response, and the *cry*-mediated changes in ABA do not disappear at night (i.e. in the absence of *cry* activity; Fig. 7B), also indicating a slower turnover. The longer term kinetics of the changes mediated by *phyB* and *cry* would provide a wider temporal window to integrate signals from the environment related to neighbors (shade) or season (cloudiness), complementing the rapid adjustment mediated by *phot*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

The wild-type accession Columbia of *Arabidopsis* (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) and the single mutants *cry1* (*hy4-B104*; Bruggemann et al., 1996), *cry2-1* (Guo et al., 1998), *phot1-5* (Liscum and Briggs, 1995; Huala et al., 1997), *phot2-1* (Kagawa et al., 2001); and the double mutants *cry1 cry2* (*cry1-hy4-b104 cry2-1*; Buchovsky et al., 2008) and *phot1 phot2* (*phot1-5 phot2-1*; Liscum and Briggs, 1995; Kagawa et al., 2001) were used in this study. The seeds were sown on 0.8% agar, and 4 d-old seedlings were transplanted to 230-cm³ pots containing equal amounts of perlite (Perlome; Perfiltra), peat moss (Ciudad Floral), and vermiculite (Intersum) and watered as needed with a solution containing 1 g L⁻¹ of Hakaphos Red (COMPO).

Experimental Conditions and Light Treatment

Field experiments were conducted in the experimental field of the Instituto de Investigaciones Fisiológicas y Ecológicas Vinculadas a la Agricultura, Faculty of Agronomy of the University of Buenos Aires (latitude 34° 35' S, 58° 29' W, altitude 10 m). During the experiments, plants were covered with a 6-mm glass combined with a neutral mesh (that reduce 30% of the PPFD), located 60 cm above the plants to cut off rain.

Glasshouse experiments were conducted in the experimental field of the Faculty of Agronomy of the University of Cuyo, Mendoza, Argentina (latitude 33° 0' S, longitude 68° 52' W, altitude 950 m).

Temperature and HR were recorded using iButton data loggers (Maxim Integrated Products) and Hobo (Onset Computer Corporation), respectively.

Growth chamber experiments were performed with plants cultivated under fluorescent lamps (36 W; Osram) that provide $170 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ of PPFD.

PPFD was measured with a LI-COR Li-188B sensor. Photoperiod was 12 h, and temperature was $23^\circ\text{C} \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$.

Red light treatment ($110 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ in Fig. 7A and indicated irradiances in Fig. 7B) was performed by filtering fluorescent lamps with a combination of orange and yellow filters (Lee Filters; nos. 105 and 101, respectively). The light spectrum determined with an Ocean Optics spectrometer (model USB4000) to corroborate blue light was completely cut off in the red light treatment. Blue light was obtained by filtering fluorescent lamps with a blue filter (Lee Filters; no. 119). To obtain different irradiances of red, blue, or white light, neutral filters (Lee Filters; nos. 298, 210, and 299) were added to the different light treatments.

Gas Exchange Measurements: Conductance, Transpiration, and Photosynthesis

Stomatal conductance, transpiration rate, and CO_2 exchange presented in Figures 1, 3 to 5, and Supplemental Figure S2 were measured by using an open infrared gas analysis system (LI-COR 6400). CO_2 exchange at 0, 50, 100, 200, 400, 800, 1,000, and $1,200 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ PPFD were measured in fully expanded leaves of *cry1 cry2, phot1 phot2*, and wild-type Landsberg *erecta* 35-d-old plants using a 0.25-liter chamber attached to a regulated portable light source (QB1205LI-670; Quantum Devices). CO_2 during measurements were set at 400 ppm in the reference cell. Dose response to CO_2 levels was determined with the following sequence of CO_2 concentrations at the reference cell: 400, 300, 200, 100, 50, 400, 800, 1,200, 1,600, and 2,000 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$, setting PPFD at $700 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. Stomatal conductance to water vapor presented in Figures 2, 6, 8, and Supplemental Figure S1 was measured with a steady-state diffusion porometer (SC-1; Decagon Devices) on both leaf surfaces.

Carbon Isotope Discrimination

Analysis of carbon isotope composition was performed on 35-d-old rosette leaves grown under natural radiation. Three plants per genotype were pooled for each independent biological replicate. Carbon isotope composition (δ) was measured at the Stable Isotope Ratio Facility for Environmental Research (University of Utah) following the standard protocol to determinate stable isotopes (<http://sirfer.utah.edu/>). The δ values were then converted to carbon isotopic discrimination values (Δ). The Δ value was calculated according to Masle et al. (2005) using the equation $\Delta = (\delta_a - \delta_p) / (1 + \delta_p)$, where δ_a and δ_p are the δ of the source air and the plant, respectively; δ of the source air (δ_a) was assumed to be -8 per million.

Stomata Density Determinations

Fully expanded leaves of the first pair were collected from 40-d-old plants. The number of stomata and epidermal cells was determined in imprints performed with transparent nail varnish under an optical microscope (Axio-star Plus at $\times 40$; Carl Zeiss) in six portions of the adaxial surface of the leaf blade, at both sides of the midrib (two determinations in the distal, medium, and proximal zones). Stomata density was calculated as the sum of stomata located in the abaxial plus adaxial per unit leaf area.

ABA Determination

The equivalent of 100 mg fresh weight of freeze-dried aerial parts for each sample (that combine leaves from four plants) was processed as stated by Berli et al. (2010) to assess ABA levels by capillary gas chromatography-electron

impact mass spectrometry with ($^2\text{H}_6$]-ABA) as internal standard; measurements on samples from each treatment were performed using three biological repetitions.

ABA Sensitivity Experiments

ABA (Sigma-Aldrich) was either sprayed ($100 \mu\text{M}$ solution) on the leaves or added with watering to the roots ($35 \mu\text{M}$ solution) at the indicated times in the figures. After ABA applications, stomatal conductance was measured at the indicated times with a steady-state diffusion porometer (SC-1; Decagon Devices) on both leaf surfaces of expanded leaves of plants that were grown in a growth chamber (12 h light/12 h dark, PPFD = $170 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, HR approximately 50%, 22°C).

Water Potential Determination

Midday leaf water potential (Ψ_w) was determined in expanded leaves that were cut and immediately measured with a pressure chamber (PMS Instruments) based on Scholander et al. (1965).

Supplemental Data

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

Supplemental Figure S1. Cryptochromes and phototropins promote stomatal conductance.

Supplemental Figure S2. Cryptochromes and phototropins promote photosynthesis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Mercedes Fuccili for help with stomata determinations, Juan Pablo Sáez for help with photosynthesis determinations, Dr. Pedro Insausti for help with water potential measurements, and Dr. Amy Austin for help with sample preparation for carbon isotope discrimination. We thank Dr. Mariana Combina, Jorge Perez Peña, Bruno Cavagnaro, and Instrumentalia S. A. for lending us the microscope, the infrared gas analyzer, the porometer, and LI-COR 6400 facilities, respectively.

Received September 15, 2011; accepted December 4, 2011; published December 6, 2011.

LITERATURE CITED

- Baroli I, Price GD, Badger MR, von Caemmerer S (2008) The contribution of photosynthesis to the red light response of stomatal conductance. *Plant Physiol* **146**: 737–747
- Berli FJ, Moreno D, Piccoli P, Hespanhol-Viana L, Silva MF, Bressan-Smith R, Cavagnaro JB, Bottini R (2010) Abscisic acid is involved in the response of grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) cv. Malbec leaf tissues to ultraviolet-B radiation by enhancing ultraviolet-absorbing compounds, antioxidant enzymes and membrane sterols. *Plant Cell Environ* **33**: 1–10
- Boccalandro HE, Rugnone ML, Moreno JE, Ploschuk EL, Serna L, Yanovsky MJ, Casal JJ (2009) Phytochrome B enhances photosynthesis at the expense of water-use efficiency in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* **150**: 1083–1092
- Boonman A, Prinsen E, Voesenek LA, Pons TL (2009) Redundant roles of photoreceptors and cytokinins in regulating photosynthetic acclimation to canopy density. *J Exp Bot* **60**: 1179–1190
- Botto JE, Alonso-Blanco C, Garzarón I, Sánchez RA, Casal JJ (2003) The Cape Verde Islands allele of cryptochrome 2 enhances cotyledon unfolding in the absence of blue light in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* **133**: 1547–1556
- Bradford KJ, Sharkey TD, Farquhar GD (1983) Gas exchange, stomatal behavior, and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of the *flacca* tomato mutant in relation to abscisic acid. *Plant Physiol* **72**: 245–250
- Bruggemann E, Handwerker K, Essex C, Storz G (1996) Analysis of fast neutron-generated mutants at the *Arabidopsis thaliana* HY4 locus. *Plant J* **10**: 755–760

- Buchovsky AS, Strasser B, Cerdán PD, Casal JJ (2008) Suppression of pleiotropic effects of functional *cryptochrome* genes by *Terminal Flower 1*. *Genetics* **180**: 1467–1474
- Cashmore AR, Jarillo JA, Wu YJ, Liu D (1999) Cryptochromes: blue light receptors for plants and animals. *Science* **284**: 760–765
- Casson S, Gray JE (2008) Influence of environmental factors on stomatal development. *New Phytol* **178**: 9–23
- Casson SA, Franklin KA, Gray JE, Grierson CS, Whitelam GC, Hetherington AM (2009) phytochrome B and PIF4 regulate stomatal development in response to light quantity. *Curr Biol* **19**: 229–234
- Christie JM (2007) Phototropin blue-light receptors. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* **58**: 21–45
- Corbesier L, Vincent C, Jang S, Fornara F, Fan Q, Searle J, Giakountis A, Farrona S, Gissot L, Turnbull C, Coupland G (2007) FT protein movement contributes to long-distance signaling in floral induction of *Arabidopsis*. *Science* **316**: 1030–1033
- Doi M, Shigenaga A, Emi T, Kinoshita T, Shimazaki K (2004) A transgene encoding a blue-light receptor, phot1, restores blue-light responses in the *Arabidopsis* phot1 phot2 double mutant. *J Exp Bot* **55**: 517–523
- Endo M, Mochizuki N, Suzuki T, Nagatani A (2007) CRYPTOCHROME2 in vascular bundles regulates flowering in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **19**: 84–93
- Farquhar GD, Richards RA (1984) Isotopic composition of plant carbon correlates with water-use efficiency of wheat genotypes. *Aust J Plant Physiol* **11**: 539–552
- Goh CH, Jang S, Jung S, Kim HS, Kang HG, Park YI, Bae HJ, Lee CH, An G (2009) Rice *phot1a* mutation reduces plant growth by affecting photosynthetic responses to light during early seedling growth. *Plant Mol Bio* **69**: 605–619
- Guo H, Yang H, Mockler TC, Lin C (1998) Regulation of flowering time by *Arabidopsis photoreceptors*. *Science* **279**: 1360–1363
- Huala E, Oeller PW, Liscum E, Han IS, Larsen E, Briggs WR (1997) *Arabidopsis* NPH1: a protein kinase with a putative redox-sensing domain. *Science* **278**: 2120–2123
- Kagawa T, Sakai T, Suetsugu N, Oikawa K, Ishiguro S, Kato T, Tabata S, Okada K, Wada M (2001) *Arabidopsis* NPL1: a phototropin homolog controlling the chloroplast high-light avoidance response. *Science* **291**: 2138–2141
- Kang CY, Lian HL, Wang FF, Huang JR, Yang HQ (2009) Cryptochromes, phytochromes, and COP1 regulate light-controlled stomatal development in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **21**: 2624–2641
- Kinoshita T, Doi M, Suetsugu N, Kagawa T, Wada M, Shimazaki K (2001) Phot1 and phot2 mediate blue light regulation of stomatal opening. *Nature* **414**: 656–660
- Kinoshita T, Ono N, Hayashi Y, Morimoto S, Nakamura S, Soda M, Kato Y, Ohnishi M, Nakano T, Inoue S, et al (2011) FLOWERING LOCUS T regulates stomatal opening. *Curr Biol* **21**: 1232–1238
- Kobayashi Y, Weigel D (2007) Move on up, it's time for change: mobile signals controlling photoperiod-dependent flowering. *Genes Dev* **21**: 2371–2384
- Königer M, Delamaide JA, Marlow ED, Harris GC (2008) *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaves with altered chloroplast numbers and chloroplast movement exhibit impaired adjustments to both low and high light. *J Exp Bot* **59**: 2285–2297
- Lake JA, Quick WP, Beerling DJ, Woodward FI (2001) Plant development: signals from mature to new leaves. *Nature* **411**: 154
- Lascève G, Leymarie J, Olney MA, Liscum E, Christie JM, Vavasseur A, Briggs WR (1999) *Arabidopsis* contains at least four independent blue-light-activated signal transduction pathways. *Plant Physiol* **120**: 605–614
- Léon-Kloosterziel KM, Gil MA, Ruijs GJ, Jacobsen SE, Olszewski NE, Schwartz SH, Zeevaert JA, Koornneef M (1996) Isolation and characterization of abscisic acid-deficient *Arabidopsis* mutants at two new loci. *Plant J* **10**: 655–661
- Liscum E, Briggs WR (1995) Mutations in the NPH1 locus of *Arabidopsis* disrupt the perception of phototropic stimuli. *Plant Cell* **4**: 473–485
- Mao J, Zhang YC, Sang Y, Li QH, Yang HQ (2005) From The Cover: a role for *Arabidopsis* cryptochromes and COP1 in the regulation of stomatal opening. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **102**: 12270–12275
- Masle J, Gilmour SR, Farquhar GD (2005) The ERECTA gene regulates plant transpiration efficiency in *Arabidopsis*. *Nature* **436**: 866–870
- Morison JLL (1998) Stomatal response to increased CO₂ concentration. *J Exp Bot* **49**: 443–452
- Mott KA (2009) Opinion: stomatal responses to light and CO₂ depend on the mesophyll. *Plant Cell Environ* **32**: 1479–1486
- Ohgishi M, Saji K, Okada K, Sakai T (2004) Functional analysis of each blue light receptor, cry1, cry2, phot1, and phot2, by using combinatorial multiple mutants in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **101**: 2223–2228
- Poole I, Lawson T, Weyers JDB, Raven JA (2000) Effect of elevated CO₂ on the stomatal distribution and leaf physiology of *Alnus glutinosa*. *New Phytol* **145**: 511–521
- Scholander PF, Bradstreet ED, Hemmingen EA, Hammel HT (1965) Sap pressure in vascular plants: Negative hydrostatic pressure can be measured in plants. *Science* **148**: 339–346
- Shimazaki K, Doi M, Assmann SM, Kinoshita T (2007) Light regulation of stomatal movement. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* **58**: 219–247
- Takemiya A, Inoue S, Doi M, Kinoshita T, Shimazaki K (2005) Phototropins promote plant growth in response to blue light in low light environments. *Plant Cell* **17**: 1120–1127
- Thomas PW, Woodward FI, Quick WP (2004) Systemic irradiance signaling in tobacco. *New Phytol* **161**: 193–198
- Wang FF, Lian HL, Kang CY, Yang HQ (2010) Phytochrome B is involved in mediating red light-induced stomatal opening in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol Plant* **3**: 246–259
- Wang Y, Noguchi K, Terashima I (2011) Photosynthesis-dependent and -independent responses of stomata to blue, red and green monochromatic light: differences between the normally oriented and inverted leaves of sunflower. *Plant Cell Physiol* **52**: 479–489
- Willmer C, Fricker M (1996) Stomata, Ed 2. Chapman and Hall, London
- Yang Y-J, Zuo Z-C, Zhao X-Y, Li X, Klejnot J, Li Y, Chen P, Liang SP, Yu XH, Liu XM, Lin CT (2008) Blue-light-independent activity of *Arabidopsis* cryptochromes in the regulation of steady-state levels of protein and mRNA expression. *Mol Plant* **1**: 167–177
- Zeiger E, Field C (1982) Photocontrol of the functional coupling between photosynthesis and stomatal conductance in the intact leaf: blue light and Par-dependent photosystems in guard cells. *Plant Physiol* **70**: 370–375